INTRODUCTION

The mobile bag technique is used to estimate the intestinal digestibility of feed in ruminants. This technique has been tested in several studies (Voigt et al., 1985; De Boer et al., 1987; Varvikko and Vanhatalo, 1990; Jarosz et al., 1994) and appears to be a promising method (Hvelplund et al., 1992). However, Beckers et al. (1996) stated that the mobile bag technique for ruminants comprised certain complications, particularly regarding the duration of the incubation time in the rumen, the pore size of the nylon bag, the site of bag recovery, and the necessity of subjecting the feed to acid digestion in the abomasum before determining intestinal digestibility. Moreover, the SS:SA ratio theoretically influences enzyme binding and microbial attachment to the feed samples in both the small and large intestine, thereby affecting the intestinal digestibility. Indeed, these factors are known to decrease in situ ruminal digestibility (Nocek, 1988; Vanzant et al., 1998).

The sizes of mobile bags used in different studies range from 9.6 cm² (2.4 cm × 4 cm) to 50 cm² (5 cm × 10 cm) for cattle (Voigt et al., 1985; Varvikko and Vanhatalo, 1990; Haugen et al., 2006) and from 7.1 cm² (3 cm across) to 11.3 cm² (2.5 cm × 4.5 cm) for sheep (Beckers et al., 1996; González et al., 2001). Similarly, the SS:SA ratio ranges from 9.7 to 41.7 mg/cm² in concentrates and from 9.7 to 22.9 mg/cm² in forages (Voigt et al., 1985; Jarosz et al., 1994; Vanhatalo and Ketoja, 1995). However, systematic digestibility effects of mobile bag characteristics have not been explicitly tested. Understanding the influence of bag size and the SS:SA ratio on intestinal digestibility will be useful in developing a sophisticated and standardized
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mobile bag technique. The development of the mobile bag technique is particularly valuable in sheep (Beckers et al., 1996), since the relatively small and narrow digestive tract of this species may be more susceptible to modifications of bag characteristics than the spacious digestive tract of cattle. Therefore, the goal of this study was to determine the impact of bag characteristics (bag size and SS:SA) on intestinal dry matter (DM) and crude protein (CP) digestibility of forage in sheep.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and feed

Four Suffolk ewes (mean body weight±SD, 47.6±6.0 kg) fitted with ruminal and proximal duodenal cannulae were used in this study. The duodenal cannula was T-shaped with an internal opening diameter of 13 mm (Sanshin Industrial Co., LTD). None of the ewes were pregnant or lactating, and the animals were kept in individual pens during the experiment. The ewes were fed second-cut and early bloom Italian ryegrass (Lolium multiflorum Lam.) hay twice daily in equal proportions at 09:00 and 16:00. This diet met the maintenance requirements recommended by the Japanese Feeding Standard for Sheep (AFFRC, 1996). The Italian ryegrass hay contained 83.1% DM, 6.3% CP (DM basis), and 74.8% neutral detergent fiber (NDF) (DM basis). The ewes had free access to water and a mineral mixture. The experimental procedures and surgical operations for animals were approved by the Committee of Animal Care and Management, Gifu University.

Sample preparation

Italian ryegrass hay, similar to the feeding material, was used in the test forage sample. The forage sample was incubated in the rumen according to the standard in situ method (Nocek, 1988; Vanzant et al., 1998). The forage samples were dried at 60°C for 48 h and ground through a 1-mm screen using a Wiley mill. Samples of 2.5 g ground material were placed in nylon bags (5 cm×10 cm; pore size, 53 μm; Bar Diamond Inc.), and 10 bags were prepared for each animal. The opening of each bag was tightly closed with a rubber band. The bags were soaked in water at 39°C for 20 min prior to ruminal incubation. Pre-soaked bags were placed into a laundry net with an anchor and incubated in the ventral rumen for 16 h. Immediately after removal of the duodenal cannula. The collected bags were immediately washed with iced water and stored in at 0°C until all bags were collected. Once collected, all bags were rinsed again and stored at 60°C for 48 h.

The forage and residues obtained from the incubated samples were analyzed for DM and CP contents using the methods described by AOAC (1995). The forage sample was also analyzed for NDF content according to Van Soest et al. (1991). The intestinal digestibility of DM and rumen undegraded CP was calculated as the amount of DM and CP extracted from the bag divided by the amount of DM and CP in the bag before intestinal passage.

Statistical analysis

The experimental design was a 4×4 Latin square with a 2×2 factorial arrangement. The size of the mobile bag and the ratio of the sample size to bag surface area were the main effects, and the animal and period were random effects. The mixed model used was:

\[ Y = \mu + A_i + P_j + T_{ik} + e_{ijk} + BS_t + RSB_{tm} + BS \times RSB_{tm} + f_{ijklm} \]

where \( \mu \) is the overall mean; A and P are the random effects.
effects of animal and period, respectively; $T$ is the main effect of the bag treatment; $BS$ and $RSB$ are the fixed effects of the bag size and the ratio of the sample size to bag surface area, respectively; $e_{ijk}$ and $f_{ijklm}$ are the error terms.

All statistical procedures were performed using the JMP software ver. 5.0.1 (SAS Institute Inc., 2002).

RESULTS

The recovery rate of the mobile bags was 0.77 (99/128 bags) within 48 h of insertion into the duodenal cannulae. In one of the ewes under LBS-LR treatment, most of the bags were not excreted within 48 h because the first bags blocked the duodenal cannula.

Transition time of the mobile bags and the DM and CP digestibility in the intestine are shown in Table 1. There was no significant difference observed in the transition time for bags of the various treatments ($BS$, $p = 0.6907$; $RSB$, $p = 0.5185$; and $BS \times RSB$, $p = 0.853$). The digestibility within the rumen+pepsin incubation was 0.320±0.009 for DM and 0.497±0.039 for CP. The intestinal digestibility of DM was not different between different treatments ($BS$, $p = 0.883$; $RSB$, $p = 0.614$; and $BS \times RSB$, $p = 0.475$). Differences in the intestinal CP digestibility with different bag sizes ($BS$, $p = 0.494$) and with different sample SS:SA ($RSB$, $p = 0.579$) were again not significant. However, SBS-LR treatment yielded slightly higher digestibility than other treatments ($BS \times RSB$, $p = 0.052$).

Influence of transition time of the mobile bag on the intestinal digestibility is shown in Figure 1. The intestinal digestibility of DM increased slightly with longer transition times of the mobile bag ($r = 0.335$, $p<0.001$, $n = 99$), although this increase in rate was extremely small (0.29%/h). With respect to CP digestibility, transition time of the mobile bag did not affect the intestinal digestibility ($r = 0.037$, $p = 0.710$).

DISCUSSION

The hypothesis was that increased size would slow the movement of the bags in the intestine, thereby extending transition time and improving intestinal digestibility.
However, it was found that the size of the mobile bag had no influence on intestinal digestibility. This is logical since bag size had very little influence on the bag transition time (Table 1). In other reports, the mean transition time of the mobile bag from the duodenum to the feces ranged from 11.6 h to 21.0 h in cattle and sheep (Voigt et al., 1985; Varvikko and Vanhatalo, 1990; Van Straalen et al., 1993; Beckers et al., 1996; Haugen et al., 2006). However, no relationship was observed between the transition time and the size of the bag. This is consistent with the observations in the present study that bag size does not influence the bag transition time or intestinal digestibility in sheep.

Furthermore, the results indicate that the transition time of a bag had little or no effect on the intestinal digestibility of the contents, even when the bags were retained for up to 48 h in the intestine (Figure 1). Van Straalen et al. (1993) similarly found that the bag transit time did not affect either DM or CP digestibility in cow intestines. In contrast, Voigt et al. (1985) reported that in cows, bag transit time influenced intestinal DM digestibility, although not CP digestibility. The experimental setup deviated somewhat from Voigt et al. (1985), since the feed samples in the latter study were not incubated in the rumen before eventual intestinal incubation. This procedure did not reflect the common digestive process and therefore the bag digestibility increased with increased time spent in the large intestine. Therefore, it is concluded that even if the bag size affects transition time, the intestinal digestibility may not change in sheep until the material is digested for at least 48 h.

With the nylon bag technique standardized by Nocek (1988) and Vanzant et al. (1998), the SS:SA ratio might be expected to influence ruminal and also intestinal digestibility. However, no correlation was found between the SS:SA ratio and the intestinal digestibility of the sample (Table 1) when SS:SA of 5.5 and 11.0 mg/cm² were tested. These ratios are lower than those recommended in the nylon bag technique for estimating ruminal digestibility (10 to 20 mg/cm²) (Nocek, 1988; Varvikko and Vanhatalo, 1995) than those in the present study. Thus, further investigation is required to determine the influence of the ratio of the sample size to bag surface area on intestinal digestibility for different types of forages.

In conclusion, the size of the mobile bag and the ratio of the sample size to bag surface area do not influence intestinal digestibility in sheep. Thus, using larger bags and proportionally larger sample sizes is recommended in mobile bag experiments so that large quantities of material can be harvested for relevant analytical protocols.
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