Sequencing of several available genome information estimated that 15%-20% of ORFs coded for membrane proteins irrespective of the species and the genome size (Mitaku et al., 1999). Membrane proteins have close contact with membrane system that in the interface between the outer and inner worlds across the membrane. Such a membrane system contains many kinds of receptor proteins, transporter proteins and channel proteins which have critical roles in the interaction of the cell with its environment and in the function of subcellular organelles (Kashino, 2003). Membrane proteins are also important for pharmacological action, and many successful drugs act by modulating the activity of membrane proteins (Patton, 1999). Thus, the comprehensive and reliable identification and characterization of the expressed membrane proteins will reveal yet undiscovered, but highly specific features of these membranes that therefore used to elucidate and understand cellular mechanisms, to account for substantially more cellular functions.

Generally, membrane proteins are very hydrophobic, and have an alkaline pH and have single or several transmembrane domains. This leads to membrane proteins less amenable to solubilization by conventional extraction buffers and also susceptible to precipitation during isoelectric focusing (IEF) separation. Proteomic analysis of membrane proteins has been considered to be difficult of using two-dimensional gel electrophoresis that offers the highest resolution available to date. Thus, many studies demonstrated that optimization of extraction conditions by using alteration of buffers, chaotropes, and detergents is sufficient to reliably achieve high-resolution maps of membrane proteins (Rabilloud et al., 1999; Luche et al., 2003; Churchward et al., 2005). While the restriction with regard to these limitations mentioned above is usually compensated for by particular biochemical techniques, mainly liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS), exploiting LC-MS/MS for comparative investigations of integral membrane proteins are currently raised (Wu and Yates, 2003). LC-MS/MS takes advantage of MS-based procedures for automatic peptide fragmentation and the enormous amount of available genome data. Otherwise, highly efficient membrane protein extractions are carried out with a strong ionic detergent of sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) that solubilizes membrane
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proteins to protein-SDS complexes for one-dimensional PAGE. This is a robust method that resolves proteins of different molecular weights generally independent of their biochemical properties. Up to the present time, analysis of membrane proteome pattern based on the SDS-PAGE combination LC-MS/MS was widely used in mammalian tissue, isolated cell lines, yeast and bacteria (Rahbar and Fenselau, 2004; Wehmhoner et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2005).

The mammary gland is a complex organ that provides neonatal offspring with milk for nourishment and disease resistance. It is protected by a variety of defense mechanisms, specific and innate immune factors associated with mammary gland tissues and secretion also play a vital role in protecting the gland from infectious disease (Sordillo et al., 1997). Previous the researches investigated the proteins in milk (skim milk, whey, and milk fat globule membrane) using proteomic approach, some proteins were identified that are involved in host defense to protect against infection and associated with disease (Baeker et al., 2002; Hogarth et al., 2004; Reinhardt and Lippolis, 2006; Smolenski et al., 2007). Besides, more recently proteomics approach has been widely used in characterized protein expression profiles in dairy cows for cellular and molecular mechanisms (Kim et al., 2006; Daniels et al., 2006; Ohsaki et al., 2006). However, little attention used proteomic analysis of membrane proteins of mammary tissues from Holstein cows. Here we systematically applied the SDS-PAGE separated proteins and followed by ion trap mass spectrometer equipped with a Surveyor HPLC system identified, in order to investigated the membrane protein composition. Further, to elucidate the mechanisms of mammary gland tissue infected disease and hope toward a clear identification of protein and potential protein targets for therapies and immune manipulations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Samples preparation

Samples of the mammary gland tissues were prepared from a dairy farm in the west of China. The current study comprised 8 healthy Holstein Cows uninfected with mastitis during the phases of late lactation that were determined according to LMT (Lanzhou Mastitis Test, Lanzhou, China) with similar to CMT method. The mammary gland was bisected in the midsagittal plane, mammary tissue samples about 9 cm³ (3 cm × 3 cm × 1 cm) were prepared from freshly obtained cistern tissues under 2 cm of the mammary epithelium that located in the central portion, to remove milk by washing with PBS, then tissue samples were frozen and stored in liquid nitrogen until needed. All animal care and handling procedures were approved by the state, local and experimental station guidelines and regulations.

Preparation of membrane protein

All the procedures were carried out for protein extraction at 4°C to minimize protease activity until otherwise stated. Membrane fractions were isolated as previously described by Butt and Coorssen (2005) with the modifications to separate the cellular membranes. Frozen mammary gland samples (about 1 g) were pooled and manually homogenized with a mortar and pestle in liquid nitrogen, the tissue powder was resuspended in a hypotonic lysis buffer consisting of 50 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 5 mM EDTA, and Protease Inhibitors (Cat. No. 80-6501-23, Amersham Biosciences, Sweden), then transfer into a glass homogenizer and homogenized on the ice. To ensure thorough homogenization, the homogenate was subjected to two round of freeze-thaw and centrifuged at 1,000 g for 10 min at 4°C, the suspension was collected and pellet was homogenized again, then supernatants from each centrifugation-step were pooled and equal volume of 2×PBS was added to restore isotonicity. Subsequently, membrane fractions were collected by ultracentrifugation at 120,000 g for 2 h at 4°C using an Optima™ Max Ultracentrifuge with the MLA-80 rotor (Beckman-Coulter, USA). In order to removed soluble/cytosolic proteins from membrane/membrane associated proteins, the membrane pellet was gently resuspended in ice-cold 1×PBS with protease inhibitors, and collected as described above. That step was repeated twice for collected purified membrane pellets. Washed membranes were dissolved in an SDS-containing solution (63 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 2% SDS, 5% β-mercaptoethanol) by pipetting and vortexing, and incubated for 1 h with periodic vortexing. Any small insoluble fraction by centrifugation at 10,000 g for 10 min, the suspension was collected and used as membrane protein samples were stored in aliquots at -75°C until use.

Separation of membrane proteins

The samples of membrane proteins were mixed with an equal volume SDS sample buffer and heated to 95°C for 5 min. Separation of membrane proteins was carried out in a Protean II xi (Bio-Rad, USA) electrophoresis system, using 4% stacking and 12% separating polyacrylamide gels and Tris-glycine-SDS pH 8.3 as the electrode buffer. The gels were started with 50 V and continued with 200 V until the bromphenol blue dye marker reached the bottom of the gel. The protein lanes were visualized using conventional Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250 staining, then the gels were shaken in MilliQ water until the background staining was removed. The gel lane of each sample was sliced by following visualized positions, total of 19 gel sections were excised from the gel corresponding to their apparent mass regions. Then, the gel slices were placed into 1 mm³ cubes for further destaining and digestion.
**Digestion and extraction peptide**

The small cubes from Coomassie-stained gels were washed twice with 400 μl MilliQ water for 30 min, and then washed twice with 200 μl acetonitrile/25 mM ammonium bicarbonate (1:1 v/v) for 30 min at room temperature. Sequentially, the gel pieces were shrunk in acetonitrile and completely dried. Obtained particles were rehydrated with 10 mM dithiothreitol to reduced the proteins for 1 h at 56°C, and then washed twice with 100 μl acetonitrile/25 mM ammonium bicarbonate (1:1 v/v). After the gel pieces shrunk in acetonitrile, reduction proteins in gel were followed by alkylation using 55 mM iodoacetamide for 1 h in the dark, this procedure was repeated. Subsequently, the dried gel pieces were subjected to incubation in 25 mM ammonium bicarbonate containing 0.01% sequence-grade trypsin at 37°C overnight. Then, the peptides were extracted with 50% acetonitrile and 0.2% formic acid, and applied one round of vortexing and sonication (30 min), the supernatant was transferred to a clean Eppendorf, this step was repeated, the supernatant was pooled. For reproducibility, duplicate lanes were prepared for membrane fraction.

**Protein identification and database search**

Peptide mass was determined on an ion trap mass spectrometer (LCQ Deca XP, Thermo Finnigan) equipped with a Surveyor HPLC system (Thermo). Peptide mixes were diluted to 0.05-0.1 mg/ml and 20 μl was injected onto a 150×0.18 mm BioBasic-18 column (Thermo) at a flow rate of 120 μl/min. Mobile phase A consisted of water and 0.1% formic acid, and mobile phase B consisted of acetonitrile and 0.1% formic acid. Peptides were eluted with a 0.1% formic acid/acetonitrile linear gradient. MS spectra for all samples were measured with an overall mass/charge (m/z) range of 400-2,000 with a maximum ion injection time of 200 ms followed by MS/MS scans of the three most abundant ions in each MS scan, MS/MS was performed in data-dependent mode. Peptides were identified using SEQUEST software (Bioworks 2.0, Thermo Finnigan), which used the tandem mass spectra of peptide ions to search against the publicly available NCBI nonredundant protein database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). The protein identification criteria that were based on delta correlation score Delta CN (≥0.1) and cross-correlation score Xcorr (one charge≥1.9, two charges≥2.2, three charges≥3.75). Proteins were considered identified when at least two peptides met these requirements per protein, additional peptides were then included to maximize the peptide coverage of the identification. All protein identifications with an error rate of less than 10% were summarized and directly exported into Excel.

**RESULTS**

Membrane proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE. For subsequent analysis, the gels from three independent experiments were cut into total of 19 consecutive sections according to stained density. Reducing and alkylating the protein complexity in gels were performed and prepared for LC ion trap MS analyses as described in the methods. Tryptic peptides from the in-gel digested and extracted, and then subjected to ion trap mass spectrometry, resulted in the identification of 183 proteins (Supplementary Table I) based on the SEQUEST software (Bioworks 2.0, Thermo Finnigan). As seen in our dataset, many hypothetical/prediction proteins were found to be expressed in the Table 1.

### Table 1. i) Characterization of identified proteins with transmembrane domain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein name</th>
<th>Accession</th>
<th>Mw (Da)</th>
<th>pI</th>
<th>GRAVY</th>
<th>Transmembrane domain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2’-5’ oligoadenylate synthetase 3</td>
<td>BAB18647</td>
<td>121,236.2</td>
<td>8.77</td>
<td>-0.251</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ab1-233</td>
<td>AAP92556</td>
<td>79,122.7</td>
<td>9.39</td>
<td>-0.424</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABCA3 variant protein</td>
<td>BAE06126</td>
<td>191,317.9</td>
<td>7.39</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alpha 3 type VI collagen isoform 2 precursor</td>
<td>NP_476505</td>
<td>325,293</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td>-0.236</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At1g19700-like protein</td>
<td>AAZ73651</td>
<td>27,292.1</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>-0.776</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytochrome c-type biogenesis protein ccmE</td>
<td>Q5NN30</td>
<td>15,922.3</td>
<td>9.52</td>
<td>-0.036</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chain B, Structure of Human Placental</td>
<td>1A7AB</td>
<td>47,427.2</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>-0.172</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-Adenosylhomocysteine Hydrolase</td>
<td>1NBME</td>
<td>51,431.5</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAIN E, The Structure of Bovine F1-Atpase Covalently Inhibited with 4-Chloro-7-Nitro benzo[1]furan</td>
<td>CAB64231</td>
<td>56,373.7</td>
<td>6.52</td>
<td>-0.029</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-galactarate dehydratase</td>
<td>NP_333487</td>
<td>55,971.4</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA maturation protein</td>
<td>Np_042010</td>
<td>66,260.6</td>
<td>5.31</td>
<td>-0.296</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>envelope glycoprotein</td>
<td>ABE03113</td>
<td>95,988.1</td>
<td>8.48</td>
<td>-0.222</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exported copper resistance protein</td>
<td>CAE51680</td>
<td>33,232.2</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>-0.373</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FASN variant protein</td>
<td>BAE06070</td>
<td>277,370.1</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>histone macroH2A1.2</td>
<td>AAC33433</td>
<td>39,601.7</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>-0.263</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
membrane fraction. However, there were some MS/MS spectra that did not result in any identifications of proteins or gave out ambiguous database search results and therefore did not pass the threshold for positive identification.

**Physicochemical characteristics of the identified proteins**

The 183 identified proteins were categorized according to their different physicochemical properties by using bioinformatic software tools ProtParam (http://us.expasy.org/tools/protparam.html) analysis, such as molecular weight (Mw), isoelectric point (pl), and hydrophobicity (Grand average of hydropathicity, GRAVY). The GRAVY values determined according to Kyte and Doolittle provide an image of the hydrophobicity of the whole protein. Generally proteins exhibiting positive values are considered hydrophobic and negative scores are deemed hydrophilic. The membrane protein distribution patterns were compared resulted from the above characteristics listed Figure 1.

In the present work, for the total 183 proteins, 134 proteins distribute among 10 kDa-60 kDa Mw intervals, which are compatible with general SDS-PAGE, the smallest and the largest molecular mass obtained are 4.87 kDa and

---

**Table 1. ii) Characterization of identified proteins with transmembrane domain**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein name</th>
<th>Accession</th>
<th>Mw (Da)</th>
<th>pl</th>
<th>GRAVY</th>
<th>Transmembrane domain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Homo sapiens eukaryotic translation initiation factor 3, subunit 5 epsilon, 47kDa</td>
<td>AAP36731</td>
<td>37,667</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homo sapiens tubulin, beta, 4</td>
<td>AAP36356</td>
<td>88,494.9</td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 (NADP+), soluble</td>
<td>NP_005887</td>
<td>46,660</td>
<td>6.53</td>
<td>-0.392</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIAA0866 protein</td>
<td>BAA74869</td>
<td>228,722.2</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>-0.856</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methionyl-tRNA synthetase-like protein</td>
<td>CAB78420</td>
<td>89,853.6</td>
<td>6.65</td>
<td>-0.431</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mevalonate kinase</td>
<td>CAA54820</td>
<td>38,798.6</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mitochondrial ATP synthase, H+ transporting F1 complex beta subunit</td>
<td>ABD77240</td>
<td>48,113.8</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myb-binding protein 1A</td>
<td>O35281</td>
<td>152,286.1</td>
<td>9.07</td>
<td>-0.458</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>neoplasm-related C140 product</td>
<td>AAB30819</td>
<td>24,044.3</td>
<td>10.41</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nitrate transporter</td>
<td>YP_544433</td>
<td>42,508.1</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>0.808</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NudC domain-containing protein 3</td>
<td>Q8IVD9</td>
<td>40,769</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>-0.591</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>myosin, heavy chain 9, non-muscle</td>
<td>CABO5105</td>
<td>226,531.7</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>-0.854</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PREDICTED: hypothetical protein</td>
<td>XP_001069125</td>
<td>12,453.3</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>0.424</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PREDICTED: hypothetical protein</td>
<td>XP_001078693</td>
<td>183,437.5</td>
<td>8.63</td>
<td>-0.287</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PREDICTED: prohibitin isoform 7</td>
<td>XP_511949</td>
<td>29,804.1</td>
<td>5.57</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PREDICTED: similar to Calgranulin B</td>
<td>XP_547585</td>
<td>38,628.1</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>-0.061</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromodomain-helicase-DNA-binding protein 2</td>
<td>XP_509392</td>
<td>32,787.7</td>
<td>10.59</td>
<td>-0.732</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PREDICTED: similar to DNA-binding protein TAXREB107 isoform 12</td>
<td>XP_610486</td>
<td>58,835.6</td>
<td>6.96</td>
<td>-0.384</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PREDICTED: similar to keratin 6 1rs3</td>
<td>XP_231157</td>
<td>83,931.6</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>-0.555</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PREDICTED: similar to predicted CDS, polyprotein family member</td>
<td>XP_786079</td>
<td>118,114.9</td>
<td>9.64</td>
<td>-0.487</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PREDICTED: similar to signal-induced proliferation-associated 1 like 2</td>
<td>XP_392312</td>
<td>174,222.3</td>
<td>8.13</td>
<td>-0.767</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PREDICTED: similar to tetratricopeptide repeat domain 21B, partial</td>
<td>XP_650803</td>
<td>165,150.9</td>
<td>7.79</td>
<td>-0.329</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PREDICTED: similar to toll-like receptor 3</td>
<td>XP_782579</td>
<td>101,682.7</td>
<td>6.42</td>
<td>-0.027</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ribosomal protein L3 isoform b</td>
<td>NP_001029025</td>
<td>40,152.5</td>
<td>10.23</td>
<td>-0.599</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ribosomal protein L4</td>
<td>AAH66925</td>
<td>47,698</td>
<td>11.07</td>
<td>-0.611</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ribosomal protein L8</td>
<td>BAA25829</td>
<td>14,254.5</td>
<td>10.22</td>
<td>-0.356</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unknown (protein for IMAGE:3138929)</td>
<td>AHA07267</td>
<td>101,465.2</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>-0.049</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unnamed protein product</td>
<td>BAC05073</td>
<td>56,309.4</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>-0.071</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unnamed protein product</td>
<td>CAA27246</td>
<td>57,955.6</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vesicle amine transport protein 1 homolog</td>
<td>AA510883</td>
<td>43,119.4</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td>-0.048</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>voltage-dependent N-type calcium channel subunit alpha-1B</td>
<td>Q02294</td>
<td>262,256.1</td>
<td>8.82</td>
<td>-0.229</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
325.29 kDa, respectively. The protein with the minimum Mw was the casocidin-I (AAB35385), and the maximum Mw was the alpha 3 type VI collagen isoform 2 precursor (NP_476505). Regarding the pI distribution, the 183 proteins distributed cross a wide pI range from 4.27 to 11.75. 162 proteins distribute among pI 4-10 intervals but 21 proteins with pI >10 were identified that beyond the 2D-PAGE separation capability. The protein with the maximum pI at 11.75 was the ribosomal protein L13 (NP_990330).

For the 183 identified proteins, their GRAVY values vary in the range of -1.61 to +0.808. 13 proteins have positive values. In addition, 65 proteins had GRAVY values >-0.25 that have been identified. These proteins hardly detected in 2D-PAGE that are generally separation hydrophilic proteins, also with negative GRAVY values.

Transmembrane proteins prediction

Transmembrane domain of identified proteins was predicted by TMHTOP (http://www.enzim.hu/hmmtop/). There are 48 possible transmembrane proteins with one to eighteen trans-membrane regions. Among them, 31 proteins with one trans-membrane region, 10 with two transmembrane regions, and 7 proteins with three or more transmembrane regions. Those proteins listed in Table 1.

Functional annotation

As an approach to understanding mammary gland membrane protein biological process and molecular functions, we searched for known Gene Ontology categories within identified proteins. 152 of proteins were detected and reflected a broad range of molecular functions, and can be categorized in nine major functional groups. The category having the greatest number of proteins was binding activity, others proteins involved in structural molecule activity, catalytic activity, and transporter activity (Figure 2A). According to their biological process, associated with physiological process, cellular process, and developmental process are main biological function (Figure 2B). Therefore, categories may appear to be overrepresented just because they belong to more abundant protein classes. Such as sulfonate/nitrate transport system ATP-binding protein (YP_172698), its molecule function was categorized binding and catalytic activity. 14-3-3 protein zeta chain (AAB22943) involved in biological process contained cellular process, developmental process and biological regulation.

DISCUSSION

Membrane proteins present in the interface between the outer and inner worlds across the membrane that have critical roles in the cell important processes and events to fulfill key functions, as signal transduction, energy conversion, transport and recognition. They are also involved in a wide range of diseases, and become large majority targets for the diagnostic and therapeutics. Thus, knowledge of membrane proteome is urgently required. The objective of this study was to identify the membrane proteins of mammary gland from Holstein cows through SDS-PAGE combination ion trap mass spectrometry. SDS is a strong anionic detergent which denatures proteins and especially solubilizes hydrophobic membrane proteins, and helps to keep them moving in the gel with equal charge or charge densities that separates proteins without any pI discrimination. Inevitably, per gel band may contain several denatured proteins or polypeptides that lead to a mixture of peptides in in-gel digestion. However, ion trap mass spectrometer equipped with a Surveyor HPLC system provides the high resolution peptide separation and detection sensitivity required for the analysis of complex peptide mixtures. As a result, only 183 proteins were identified. This phenomenon was probably a consequence of the limited number of bovine sequences present in
For the 183 identified proteins in this research, that contained 21 proteins with basic pIs higher than 10, and 13 proteins with GRAVY positive values, and 48 transmembrane proteins with one or more trans-membrane region, which usually are undetectable by 2D-PAGE approach. As previous observations, the proteins detected in 2D-PAGE gels are in general hydrophilic with negative GRAVY values and few transmembrane regions in membrane proteins (Lehner et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2005). Fountoulakis and Suter (2002) identified 170 proteins from 2D-PAGE gels of rat liver, only 14 proteins had low positive GRAVY values with maximum 0.21, and only one protein with three transmembrane domains. Later, Zhang et al. (2005) used different lysis buffers and different procedures for PM protein treatments of mouse liver to extend the solubilization of membrane proteins, thus, they found approximately 28% of the 175 proteins detected by 2D-PAGE-MS in the membrane fraction carried at least one transmembrane domain, 8% of the proteins have positive GRAVY values. Considering the 1D-PAGE separation, this method increased the number of membrane proteins with two or more transmembrane domains (up to 88). So, compared with 2D-PAGE, 1D-PAGE separation combination LC-MS/MS could identify more integral membrane proteins. In our study, without specific methods for enrichment or treatment of membrane proteins, 48 of the 183 proteins with one or more transmembrane proteins were identified, in which 7 proteins have three or more transmembrane domains.

Furthermore, identified proteins were compared against a reference set of complete Gene Ontology annotations. These proteins were associated with physiological process, cellular and developmental process in biological process, and involved in binding, catalytic activity, and structural molecule activity according to molecule function. From their functional categories, it can be postulated that these proteins are intensively associated with membrane and membrane-associated proteins and participated in membrane biochemical processes. For example, 127 proteins were identified as being involved in binding activity, participating in protein, ion, lipid, and DNA binding activity that associated with cell adhesion, cell-cell signaling, signal transduction. 53 proteins could be
Ontology annotations do not fully account for the multiple mammary gland from infection. However, the Gene regulating of binding that associated with protecting the antigen recognition, inflammatory response, and gamma-2 chain C region (S06611), etc. They were involved in microsomal epoxide hydrolase (AAF87734), and Ig crystalline structure of bovine Cu Zn Sod (1E9QA), which is identified as host defense function, such as using the Gene Ontology criteria, some proteins were functional in structural molecule activity. In particularly, protein interaction analysis is important targets for defense and therapeutics through level, and certain membrane proteins may become important targets for defense against pathogens at the protein level, and certain membrane proteins may become important targets for defense and therapeutics through protein interaction analysis.
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