**INTRODUCTION**

Antibiotics have been wildly used for many years and played an important role due to the therapeutic effect against disease and improved performance of animals (Kiser, 1976; Corpet, 2000). Livestocks fed subtherapeutic levels of antibiotics have been documented to increase weight gain and improve feed efficiency (Hays, 1981). However, the over-use of antibiotics also leads to several problems, the most important one is the antibiotics resistance which has the possibility of resistant bacteria or genes transferred from animals to human through the food chain (Taylor, 1997; Barton, 2000).

As the reasons described above, the restriction of antibiotics becomes a worldwide trend. The European Union has started to restrict the use of antibiotics since last decade. Several other countries or areas also tend to limit the use of antibiotics recently. This trend made people search for other ways or substances to replace antibiotics as a growth promoter. Many investigations have focused on the alternative feed additives or supplements, such as probiotics, enzymes, minerals, organic acids and herbs etc. Currently, some aluminosilicate minerals (zeolite, kaolinite and bentonite etc.) have received considerable interest by researchers. It is suggested that those kinds of minerals are effective in adsorption and binding cations such as ammonia (NH\(_4^+\)) ions (Mumpton and Fishman, 1977). However, the effects of these minerals have not been rigorously evaluated now. Our study was concerned about one of the aluminosilicate mineral product which named Biotite V (Seobong Biobestech Co., Ltd, Seoul, Korea). The objective of this experiment was to determine the effects of this product on growth performance, nutrients digestibility and blood constituents in growing pigs, so that we can evaluate the possibility of Biotite V as a substitute of antibiotics.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Source and compositions of Biotite V**

Biotite V used in this experiment was provided by Seobong Biobestech Co., Ltd (Seoul, Korea). The main compositions of this product were 61.90% SiO\(_2\), 23.19% Al\(_2\)O\(_3\), 3.97% Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), 3.36% Na\(_2\)O (Manufacturers specifications).

**Experimental design, animals and diets**

A total of 120 [(Landrace×Yorkshire) ×Duroc] pigs with the initial body weight of 18.35±0.15 kg were used in this 28 days experiment. Pigs were assigned to four treatments by sex and body weight in a randomized complete block design. There were six replicate pens per treatment and five pigs per pen. Four dietary treatments were: 1) NC (basal diet without antibiotics), 2) PC (basal diet+0.1% CTC), 3) NCBV (NC diet+0.5% 200 mesh Biotite V) and 4) PCBV (PC diet+0.5% 200 mesh Biotite V). Through the entire experimental period, ADG tended to increase in NCBV and PCBV treatments compared to NC and PC treatments respectively, but no significant differences were observed (p>0.05). ADFI was slightly lower in NCBV and PCBV treatments than that in NC and PC treatments without significant differences (p>0.05). Gain/feed in PC and PCBV treatments was improved significantly compared to NC treatment (p<0.05). N and Ca digestibilities were higher in PCBV treatments than those in PC treatment (p<0.05). DM and P digestibilities were not affected by the addition of Biotite V (p>0.05). RBC, HCT, Hb, lymphocyte and monocyte were increased numerically in NCBV and PCBV treatments compared to NC and PC treatments (p<0.05). WBC was lower in treatment groups than that in NC treatment, but no significant differences were observed (p>0.05). In conclusion, dietary supplementation of Biotite V can better the gain/feed and some of the nutrients digestibilities in growing pigs. It has a possibility to replace antibiotics in swine diet. (Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci. 2005. Vol 18, No. 11 : 1642-1645)
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The effects of Biotite V supplementation on growth performance in growing pigs. ADG tended to increase in NCBV and PCBV treatments compared to NC and PC treatments respectively, but there was no significant difference (p>0.05). ADFI was lower in NCBV and PCBV treatments than that in NC and PC treatments without significant difference (p>0.05). Gain/feed in PC and PCBV treatments were significant higher than that in NC treatment (p<0.05).

The effects of Biotite V supplementation on nutrients digestibility in growing pigs are show in Table 3. DM and P digestibility tended to increase in NCBV and PCBV treatments compared to NC and PC treatments, but there were no statistical differences (p>0.05). N digestibility was increased significantly in PCBV and NCBV treatments compared to NC and PC treatments (p<0.05). Digestibility of Ca was also higher in PCBV treatment than that in PC treatment (p<0.05).

RBC, HCT, Hb, lymphocyte and monocyte were increased in NCBV and PCBV treatments compared to NC and PC treatments (Table 4), but there were no statistical
differences (p>0.05). WBC was lower in NCBV and PCBV treatments than that in NC and PC treatments without significant difference (p>0.05).

DISCUSSION

Several experiments were conducted to evaluate the effects of different alumninosilicate clay products such as biotite, zeolite and bentonite on growth performance of pigs. Castro and Iglesias (1989) using 3% and 6% zeolite respectively and Kwon et al. (2003) using 3% Biotite V suggested significant improvements were observed on pigs performance from their growth trials. Our experiment seemed to approve above results. In our experiment, obtained data (Table 2) showed that ADG in PCBV treatment was highest compared to other treatments and ADFI had a reverse trend (p>0.05). As the result of increased ADG with less feed intake, gain/feed was improved significantly (12.3%) when pigs fed diet added Biotite V and antibiotics (PCBV) compared to those of pigs fed NC diet. On the contrary, different results were observed by Shurson et al. (1984), Pearson et al. (1985) and Thacker (2003).

As data shown in our digestibility study (Table 3), N and Ca digestibilities were improved by addition of Biotite V (p<0.05), however, DM and P digestibilities were not affected (p>0.05). Previous reports were various, Thacker (2003) found that N and P digestibilities were unaffected by addition of Biotite V. Dry matter digestibility decreased linearly with increasing levels of Biotite V. However, Poulsen and Oksbjerg (1995) suggested that N metabolism and retention were affected by clinoptilolite. Chen et al. (2005) also observed significant improvement in DM and N digestibilities by addition of Biotite V. The reason for clay minerals influence on N digestibility was not fully clear now. Therefore, more experiment should be conducted to further investigate this item.

Table 3. Effects of Biotite V supplementation on nutrients digestibility in growing pigs  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items (%)</th>
<th>NC²</th>
<th>PC²</th>
<th>NCBV²</th>
<th>PCBV²</th>
<th>SE³</th>
<th>Contrast⁴</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>75.11</td>
<td>77.42</td>
<td>75.83</td>
<td>77.74</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.32 0.25 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>67.20</td>
<td>70.64</td>
<td>69.59</td>
<td>71.70</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.56 0.05 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>51.39</td>
<td>52.38</td>
<td>51.29</td>
<td>53.27</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>0.08 0.05 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>45.61</td>
<td>47.88</td>
<td>46.51</td>
<td>47.38</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>0.11 0.27 0.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Pigs with an average initial body weight of 18.35±0.15 kg.
² NC: Basal diet; PC: Basal diet with 0.1% CTC; NCBV: NC diet with 0.5% Biotite V; PCBV: PC diet with 0.5% Biotite V.
³ Standard error.
⁴ Contrast: 1) NC vs. PC; 2) NC vs. NCBV; 3) PC vs. PCBV.

Table 4. Effects of Biotite V supplementation on blood constituents in growing pigs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items (×10⁶/ml)</th>
<th>NC²</th>
<th>PC²</th>
<th>NCBV²</th>
<th>PCBV²</th>
<th>SE³</th>
<th>Contrast⁴</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RBC</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>6.49</td>
<td>6.55</td>
<td>6.85</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.09 0.07 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBC (×10³/ml)</td>
<td>22.36</td>
<td>21.58</td>
<td>19.32</td>
<td>18.42</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>0.14 0.19 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCT (%)</td>
<td>34.26</td>
<td>34.00</td>
<td>36.75</td>
<td>39.67</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>0.14 0.12 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb (g/dl)</td>
<td>10.23</td>
<td>10.44</td>
<td>10.87</td>
<td>11.13</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>0.13 0.16 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocyte (%)</td>
<td>50.92</td>
<td>52.41</td>
<td>53.59</td>
<td>54.24</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>0.08 0.10 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocyte (%)</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>6.32</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.09 0.11 0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Pigs with an average initial body weight of 18.35±0.15 kg.
² NC: Basal diet; PC: Basal diet with 0.1% CTC; NCBV: NC diet with 0.5% Biotite V; PCBV: PC diet with 0.5% Biotite V.
³ Standard error.
⁴ Contrast: 1) NC vs. PC; 2) NC vs. NCBV; 3) PC vs. PCBV.

Differences (p>0.05). WBC was lower in NCBV and PCBV treatments than that in NC and PC treatments without significant difference (p>0.05).
that they played an important role in animal immune system. Proliferation of lymphocytes is essential for the generation of a normal immune response. In our experiment, the percentage of lymphocyte and monocyte were numerically higher (6.5% and 26.1%) in pigs fed diets containing Biotite V and antibiotics (PCBV) than those of pigs fed basal diet. Although no significant effect was observed from current data, there is some evidence that the immune system may be affected by supplementation of Biotite V. Yuan et al. (2004) reported that lymphocyte proliferation was improved by addition of Biotite V in nursery pig diets.

**IMPLICATIONS**

The overall data seems suggested that addition of either antibiotics or biotite V has a beneficial trend. Also, this trend was more obviously when diets added both antibiotics and Biotite V. Therefore, the product of Biotite V may serve as an alternative substance of antibiotics in swine diets. The addition of Biotite V to growing pigs diet resulted in alterations of some of the growth performance and nutrients digestibility aspects measured in our study. Further research is needed to better discern those mechanisms by which Biotite V alter systemic and enteric immune function when supplementation to swine diets.
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