



Effects of Condensed Tannins in Mao (*Antidesma thwaitesianum* Muell. Arg.) Seed Meal on Rumen Fermentation Characteristics and Nitrogen Utilization in Goats

P. Gunun*, M. Wanapat¹, N. Gunun², A. Cherdthong¹, S. Sirilaophaisan, and W. Kaewwongsa²

Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Natural Resources, Rajamangala University of Technology-Isan, Sakon Nakhon Campus, Phangkhn, Sakon Nakhon 47160, Thailand

ABSTRACT: Mao seed is a by-product of the wine and juice industry, which could be used in animal nutrition. The current study was designed to determine the effect of supplementation of mao (*Antidesma thwaitesianum* Muell. Arg.) seed meal (MOSM) containing condensed tannins (CT) on rumen fermentation, nitrogen (N) utilization and microbial protein synthesis in goats. Four crossbred (Thai Native×Anglo Nubian) goats with initial body weight (BW) 20±2 kg were randomly assigned to a 4×4 Latin square design. The four dietary treatments were MOSM supplementation at 0%, 0.8%, 1.6%, and 2.4% of total dry matter (DM) intake, respectively. During the experimental periods, all goats were fed a diet containing roughage to concentrate ratio of 60:40 at 3.0% BW/d and pangola grass hay was used as a roughage source. Results showed that supplementation with MOSM did not affect feed intake, nutrient intakes and apparent nutrient digestibility ($p>0.05$). In addition, ruminal pH and ammonia nitrogen ($\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$) were not influenced by MOSM supplementation, whilst blood urea nitrogen was decreased quadratically ($p<0.05$) in goats supplemented with MOSM at 2.4% of total DM intake. Propionate was increased linearly with MOSM supplementation, whereas acetate and butyrate were remained the same. Moreover, estimated ruminal methane (CH_4) was decreased linearly ($p<0.05$) when goats were fed with MOSM at 1.6% and 2.4% of total DM intake. Numbers of bacteria and protozoa were similar among treatments ($p>0.05$). There were linear decreases in urinary N ($p<0.01$) and total N excretion ($p<0.01$) by MOSM supplementation. Furthermore, N retention was increased linearly ($p<0.05$) when goats were fed with MOSM supplementation at 1.6% and 2.4% of total DM intake. Microbial protein synthesis were not significantly different among treatments ($p>0.05$). From the current study, it can be concluded that supplementation of MOSM at 1.6% to 2.4% of total DM intake can be used to modify ruminal fermentation, especially propionate and N utilization in goats, without affecting the nutrient digestibility, microbial populations and microbial protein synthesis. (**Key Words:** Mao Seed Meal, Goats, Rumen Fermentation, Nitrogen Utilization, Microbial Protein Synthesis)

INTRODUCTION

Many feed additives have been developed to improve the efficiency of nutrient use by decreasing methane (CH_4)

production and reducing nitrogen (N) excretion, among which ionophore antibiotics have been successful. However, ionophore antibiotics have been banned in Europe since 2006, and many countries outside the European Union are also considering a ban (Jayanegara et al., 2009). For this reason, attention has recently shifted to natural antimicrobials as a safe means of modifying ruminal fermentation. Currently, the use of plant secondary compounds, including tannins and saponins, that are potent modifiers of ruminal fermentation and intraruminal recycling of microbial protein aims at improving the efficiency of dietary N utilization and mitigating N losses in ruminants (Hristov and Jouany, 2005).

* Corresponding Author: P. Gunun. Tel: +66-42-771460, Fax: +66-42-771460, E-mail: pongsatorng@hotmail.com

¹ Tropical Feed Resources Research and Development Center (TROFREC), Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen 40002, Thailand.

² Program in Animal Production Technology, Faculty of Technology, Udon Thani Rajabhat University, Udon Thani 41000, Thailand.

Submitted Jul. 4, 2015; Revised Oct. 12, 2015; Accepted Oct. 19, 2015

Mao or Mamao (*Antidesma thwaitesianum* Muell. Arg.) is favored by consumers in the local market in Thailand because of its good color and taste. Mao grows very well over a variety of soil types and is naturalised in Africa, Australia, islands in the Pacific Ocean and tropical Asia. Currently, Mao juice and mao wine industry produce very large amounts of seed and skin pulp, which is considered as an environmental problem. Mao seed contains a large amount of plant secondary compounds, especially condensed tannins (CT) (Gunun et al., 2014). Our previous study (Gunun et al., 2014) illustrated that supplementation with mao seed has the potential to manipulate rumen fermentation, by decreasing protozoa. However, mao seed meal (MOSM) have not been investigated for use as feed additives in *in vivo*. Therefore, the objective of this study was to investigate the effect of MOSM supplementation on rumen fermentation, microbial populations, N utilization and microbial protein synthesis in goats.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals, treatments and experimental design

Four crossbred (Thai Native×Anglo Nubian) goats with initial body weight (BW) 20±2 kg were randomly assigned to a 4×4 Latin square design. The dietary treatments were as follows: Supplementation with MOSM at 0%, 0.8%, 1.6%, and 2.4% of total dry matter (DM) intake. Fresh mao seed was provided from Department of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of Natural Resources, Rajamangala University of Technology-Isan, Sakon Nakhon Campus, Thailand; and sundried for 2 to 3 days, then ground to pass a 1 mm sieve. All animals were fed a diet containing roughage to concentrate ratio (R:C) of 60:40 at 3.0% BW/d and pangola grass (*Digitaria eriantha* Steud., synonym *D. decumbens*) hay was used as a roughage source. The diet of R:C was offered to the animals twice per day in the morning (07:00) and afternoon (16:00). Goats were housed individually in ventilated pens with wooden slotted flooring in an open goat barn raised above the ground. Clean fresh water and feed blocks were available at all times. The experiment was conducted over four periods, each lasting for 21 days: The first 14 days were used for feed intake measurements and the remaining 7 days for total urine and fecal collection. Chemical compositions of concentrate and pangola grass hay are presented in Table 1.

Data collection and sampling procedures

Feeds offered and refusals samples were collected during the last 7 days of each period in the morning and afternoon feedings. Fecal samples were collected from the total collection of each individual goat on each treatment during the last 7 days of each period in the morning and afternoon feeding. Feed, refusals and fecal samples were

Table 1. Ingredients and chemical composition of concentrate, pangola grass hay and mao seed meal (MOSM) used in the experiment

Item	Concentrate	Pangola grass hay	MOSM
Ingredient (% dry matter)			
Cassava chip	54.1	-	-
Rice bran	15.7	-	-
Palm kernel meal	12.0	-	-
Soybean meal	11.7	-	-
Urea	2.2	-	-
Molasses	2.5	-	-
Mineral and vitamin mixture ¹	1.0	-	-
Salt	0.5	-	-
Sulfur	0.3	-	-
Chemical composition			
Dry matter (%)	92.2	87.5	95.7
	----- % of dry matter -----		
Organic matter	90.0	91.7	95.2
Crude protein	16.1	7.9	10.7
Neutral detergent fiber	28.1	67.6	65.0
Acid detergent fiber	16.8	35.9	47.8
Ash	10.0	8.3	4.8
Condensed tannins	-	-	9.6
Saponins	-	-	9.2

MOSM, mao seed meal.

¹ Minerals and vitamins (each kg contains): Vitamin A, 10,000,000 IU; Vitamin E, 70,000 IU; Vitamin D, 1,600,000 IU; Fe, 50 g; Zn, 40 g; Mn, 40 g; Co, 0.1 g; Cu, 10 g; Se, 0.1 g; I, 0.5 g.

dried at 60°C, ground (1 mm screen using a Cyclotech Mill, Tecator, Hoganas, Sweden) and analysed using the standard methods of AOAC (1995) for DM, crude protein (CP), and ash, while neutral detergent fiber (NDF) and acid detergent fiber (ADF) were analysed according to Van Soest et al. (1991). Content of CT in MOSM was analysed using the modified vanillin-HCl method based on Burns (1971). Crude saponin concentrations were measured using methanol extraction following the method of Kwon et al. (2003) and modified by Pongchompu et al. (2009).

Urine samples were analyzed for total N according to AOAC (1995), and allantoin in urine was determined by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) (Instruments by controller water model 600E, Milford, MA, USA; water model 484 UV detector; column novapak C18; column size 3.9 mm×300 mm; mobile phase 10 mM H₂PO₄ [pH 2.5]) as described by Chen et al. (1993). The amount of microbial purines absorbed (X, mmol/d) presumably proportional to the purine derivatives (PD) excreted (Y, mmol/d), was estimated based with the following equation described by Chen et al. (1990) for sheep as: $Y = 0.84X + (0.150BW^{0.75}e^{-0.25X})$. The supply of microbial N (MN) was estimated by urinary excretion of PD according to Chen and Gomes (1995): $MN (g/d) =$

$70X/(0.116 \times 0.83 \times 1,000) = 0.727X$; where X is PD absorption in mmol/d, digestibility of microbial purine is 0.83, the N content of purines is 70 mg N/mmol, and the ratio of purine-N:total N in mixed rumen microbes is 11.6:100. The efficiency of microbial N synthesis (EMNS) to denote the microbial N supplied to the animal per unit of digestible organic matter apparently fermented in the rumen (DOMR) was calculated using the following formula: $EMNS = MN \text{ (g/d)}/DOMR$ (assuming that rumen digestion was 65% organic matter of digestion in total tract, $DOMR = DOMI \times 0.65$; $DOMI =$ digestible organic matter intake).

At the end of each period, rumen fluid was collected at 0, 3, and 6 h post-feeding. Rumen fluid was taken from the rumen by a stomach tube connected to a vacuum pump. Ruminal pH was determined using a portable pH meter (HANNA Instruments HI 8424 microcomputer, Singapore). Rumen fluid samples were then filtered through 4 layers of cheesecloth and divided into two portions. The first portion was used for ammonia nitrogen ($NH_3\text{-N}$) analysis, comprised 5 mL of 1 M H_2SO_4 and 50 mL of rumen fluid. It was centrifuged at 16,000 g for 15 min and the supernatant stored at $-20^\circ C$. Ruminal $NH_3\text{-N}$ concentrations were analysed using a Kjeltach Auto 1030 Analyzer, Tecator, Hoganiis, Sweden (AOAC, 1995) and volatile fatty acids (VFA) analysis was performed using HPLC (Instruments by controller water model 600E; water model 484 UV detector; column novapak C18; column size 3.9 mm \times 300 mm; mobile phase 10 mM H_2PO_4 [pH 2.5]) (Samuel et al., 1997). CH_4 production was calculated by standard equations according to $CH_4 = 0.45 \text{ (acetate)} - 0.275 \text{ (propionate)} + 0.40 \text{ (butyrate)}$ (Moss et al., 2000). A second portion was fixed with 10% formalin solution in sterilized 0.9% saline solution. The total direct counts of bacteria and protozoa were made by the methods of Galyean (1989) based on the use of a haemocytometer (Boeco, Hamburg, Germany).

A blood sample (about 10 mL) was collected from the jugular vein at the same time as rumen fluid sampling into tubes containing 12 mg of ethylene diaminetetraacetic acid, and plasma was separated by centrifugation at 500 g for 10 min at $4^\circ C$ and stored at $-20^\circ C$ until analysis of blood urea nitrogen (BUN) according to Crocker (1967).

Statistical analysis

All data were subjected to analysis of variance according to a 4 \times 4 Latin square design using the general linear models procedures (SAS, 1996). Data were analyzed using the model: $Y_{ijk} = \mu + D_i + P_j + g_k + e_{ijk}$; where Y_{ijk} = the dependent variable, μ = overall mean, D_i = fixed effect of diet, P_j = fixed effect of period, g_k = random effect of goat, and e_{ijk} = residual error. Orthogonal polynomial contrasts (linear, quadratic and cubic) were used to estimate the effect of MOSM supplementation. Significant effects were

identified at $p < 0.05$.

RESULTS

Chemical composition of diet

Table 1 shows feed ingredients and chemical compositions of concentrate, pangola grass hay and MOSM. The concentrate diet was formulated by using available local feed resources and contained CP and NDF at 16.1% and 28.1%, respectively. Moreover, pangola grass hay contained CP and NDF at 7.9% and 67.6%, respectively. MOSM contained CP, NDF, and CT at 10.7%, 65.0%, and 9.6%, respectively.

Feed intake and nutrient digestibility

Results of feed intakes and apparent nutrient digestibilities as influenced by MOSM supplementation are presented in Table 2. The results show that feed intake, nutrient intakes and apparent nutrient digestibility were not affected by MOSM supplementation ($p > 0.05$).

Characteristics of rumen fermentation and blood metabolites

The result of ruminal pH, $NH_3\text{-N}$, and BUN affected by MOSM supplementation are presented in Table 3. Supplementation of MOSM did not affect ruminal pH and $NH_3\text{-N}$ concentrations ($p > 0.05$), while BUN concentrations were decreased quadratically ($p < 0.05$) when goats were fed MOSM with 2.4% of total DM intake. The effect of MOSM supplementation on ruminal VFA concentrations is presented in Table 4. The concentrations of total VFA, acetate and butyrate were similar among treatments ($p > 0.05$), while propionate was increased linearly by MOSM supplementation ($p < 0.05$). Furthermore, the calculated production of ruminal CH_4 was decreased linearly ($p < 0.05$) when goats were fed MOSM at 1.6% and 2.4% of total DM intake.

Rumen microorganism populations

Table 5 presents information on the rumen microorganism population as influenced by MOSM supplementation. The population of bacteria, holotrich protozoa, entodiniomorph protozoa and total protozoa counts were unaltered by dietary treatments ($p > 0.05$).

Nitrogen utilization and microbial protein synthesis

Table 6 presents the results of N utilization and microbial CP synthesis of goats as influenced by MOSM supplementation. Total N intake was not different among treatments ($p > 0.05$) and ranged from 10.5 to 11.1 g/d. Fecal N excretion, and N absorption were not affected by MOSM supplementation ($p > 0.05$). The amount of N excreted in the

Table 2. Effect of mao seed meal supplementation on feed intake and nutrients digestibility in goats

Item	MOSM (% of total DM intake)				SEM	Contrast ¹		
	0	0.8	1.6	2.4		L	Q	C
DM intake								
Pangola grass hay								
g/d	322.9	345.4	322.8	346.6	12.38	ns	ns	ns
g/kg BW ^{0.75}	31.8	31.1	31.7	33.5	0.96	ns	ns	ns
Concentrate								
g/d	250.8	231.9	252.5	257.7	14.84	ns	ns	ns
g/kg BW ^{0.75}	24.4	22.1	24.3	24.5	0.71	ns	ns	ns
MOSM								
g/d	0.00	4.73	8.93	14.3	0.49	**	ns	ns
g/kg BW ^{0.75}	0.00	0.45	0.88	1.38	0.04	**	ns	ns
Total intake								
g/d	573.7	582.0	584.2	618.6	24.85	ns	ns	ns
g/kg BW ^{0.75}	56.2	55.7	56.8	59.3	1.15	ns	ns	ns
Nutrients intake (g/d)								
Organic matter	521.8	530.0	531.8	563.4	22.55	ns	ns	ns
Crude protein	65.9	65.1	67.1	70.3	3.14	ns	ns	ns
Neutral detergent fiber	282.8	301.7	294.9	315.6	11.66	ns	ns	ns
Acid detergent fiber	158.1	165.2	162.5	174.2	6.47	ns	ns	ns
Apparent digestibility (%)								
Dry matter	71.2	70.8	70.2	70.8	0.47	ns	ns	ns
Organic matter	71.9	72.2	72.0	72.3	0.41	ns	ns	ns
Crude protein	80.3	78.3	80.9	78.2	0.74	ns	ns	ns
Neutral detergent fiber	65.4	64.2	63.9	62.1	0.59	ns	ns	ns
Acid detergent fiber	54.0	53.4	53.1	53.5	0.90	ns	ns	ns

MOSM, mao seed meal; DM, dry matter; SEM, standard error of the mean; BW, body weight.

¹ L, linear; Q, quadratic; C, cubic.

** p<0.01, ns, Non-significant (p>0.05).

urine and total N excretion were decreased linearly (p<0.01) intake ratios were increased linearly (p<0.05) when goats with MOSM supplementation at 1.6% and 2.4% of total DM intake. Moreover, N retention and N retention to N PD excretion, microbial N supply, microbial CP synthesis

Table 3. Effect of mao seed meal supplementation on rumen pH, NH₃-N and BUN in goats

Item	MOSM (% of total DM intake)				SEM	Contrast ¹		
	0	0.8	1.6	2.4		L	Q	C
pH								
0 h-post feeding	6.8	6.8	6.9	6.8	0.05	ns	ns	ns
3	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	0.03	ns	ns	ns
6	6.3	6.6	6.7	6.8	0.05	*	ns	ns
Mean	6.5	6.6	6.7	6.7	0.08	ns	ns	ns
NH₃-N (mg/dL)								
0 h-post feeding	16.9	19.7	17.6	18.4	0.91	ns	ns	ns
3	23.6	24.9	20.6	20.1	0.92	ns	ns	ns
6	18.4	17.9	17.9	19.8	1.17	ns	ns	ns
Mean	19.6	20.8	18.7	19.4	0.57	ns	ns	ns
BUN (mg/dL)								
0 h-post feeding	13.3	14.2	14.0	13.5	0.34	ns	ns	ns
3	18.0	18.3	19.5	15.5	0.47	ns	*	ns
6	14.3	16.4	16.8	12.8	0.42	ns	**	ns
Mean	15.2	16.2	16.8	13.9	0.35	ns	*	ns

BUN, blood urea nitrogen; MOSM, mao seed meal; DM, dry matter; SEM, standard error of the mean.

¹ L, linear; Q, quadratic; C, cubic.

* p<0.05, ** p<0.01; ns, non-significant (p>0.05).

Table 4. Effect of mao seed meal supplementation on VFA concentration and CH₄ production in goats

Item	MOSM (% of total DM intake)				SEM	Contrast ¹		
	0	0.8	1.6	2.4		L	Q	C
Total VFA (mmol/d)								
0 h-post feeding	107.1	96.1	102.1	95.2	1.36	*	ns	*
3	118.8	115.5	110.7	106.8	2.37	ns	ns	ns
6	98.0	96.3	99.9	100.4	1.97	ns	ns	ns
Mean	108.0	102.6	104.2	100.8	1.67	ns	ns	ns
VFA (% TVFA)								
Acetate (C2)								
0 h-post feeding	66.4	66.4	65.8	63.7	1.24	ns	ns	ns
3	65.2	63.9	62.4	63.8	1.27	ns	ns	ns
6	67.1	64.7	63.2	66.2	1.54	ns	ns	ns
Mean	66.2	65.0	63.8	64.6	0.71	ns	ns	ns
Propionate (C3)								
0 h-post feeding	22.5	23.4	22.5	25.9	0.63	*	ns	ns
3	24.7	25.3	27.8	27.1	0.93	ns	ns	ns
6	23.1	24.0	25.4	26.6	0.98	ns	ns	ns
Mean	23.4	24.2	25.2	26.5	0.64	*	ns	ns
Butyrate (C4)								
0 h-post feeding	11.1	10.2	11.7	10.4	0.69	ns	ns	ns
3	10.1	10.8	9.8	9.1	0.58	ns	ns	ns
6	9.8	11.3	11.4	7.2	0.54	ns	*	ns
Mean	10.3	10.8	11.0	8.9	0.46	ns	ns	ns
C2:C3								
0 h-post feeding	3.0	2.8	2.9	2.5	0.11	ns	ns	ns
3	2.6	2.5	2.2	2.4	0.10	ns	ns	ns
6	2.9	2.7	2.5	2.5	0.12	ns	ns	ns
Mean	2.8	2.7	2.5	2.4	0.14	ns	ns	ns
CH ₄ estimation ² (mol/100 mol)								
0 h-post feeding	28.1	27.5	28.1	25.7	0.71	ns	ns	ns
3	26.6	26.1	24.4	24.9	0.58	ns	ns	ns
6	27.8	27.0	26.0	25.4	0.68	ns	ns	ns
Mean	27.5	26.9	26.2	25.3	0.40	*	ns	ns

VFA, volatile fatty acids; MOSM, mao seed meal; DM, dry matter; SEM, standard error of the mean.

¹ L, linear; Q, quadratic; C, cubic. ² CH₄ gas production was calculated.

* p<0.05, ns, non-significant (p>0.05).

and EMNS were not significantly affected by dietary treatments (p>0.05).

DISCUSSION

Supplementation of tannin containing plants to ruminant diets usually reduces feed intake because of reduced palatability, decreased rate of digestion and development of conditioned aversion (Mueller-Harvey, 2006). Beauchemin et al. (2008) reported that feed intake was reduced by high doses (>50 g/kg DM) of CT uptake. The negative effect of CT on feed intake was caused by astringency of CT and short-term post-ingestive malaise (Landau et al., 2000). However, in the present study, dietary tannins sources had no effect on total DM intake and nutrient intakes when used at a suitable level (<50 g/kg DM) as a supplement.

Significant differences in ruminal pH between control

and treatments occurred at 6 h-post feeding. The ruminal pH in control was significantly lower than those of treatment group. However, mean ruminal pH was not altered by dietary treatments and was in optimal range for the ecology and fermentation by microbes as reported by Gunun et al. (2013). Rumen NH₃-N concentrations varied in response to feeding, especially at the peaks occurring at 3 h post-feeding. Concentration of NH₃-N in the rumen fluid is the net result of NH₃-N production from the feed, fermentation of protein, absorption through the rumen wall and passage out of the rumen and utilization by microbes. Rumen NH₃-N concentration averaged 19.6 mg/dL for dietary treatments, a value that is close the optimal concentration of 15 to 30 mg/dL of NH₃-N as an optimal level for microbial growth in tropical conditions (Wanapat and Pimpa, 1999). When a CT containing plant is masticated, insoluble CT-protein complexes are formed;

Table 5. Effect of mao seed meal supplementation on ruminal microbes in goats

Item	MOSM (% of total DM intake)				SEM	Contrast ¹		
	0	0.8	1.6	2.4		L	Q	C
Direct count (cell/mL)								
Bacteria ($\times 10^9$)								
0 h-post feeding	2.3	2.5	2.5	2.2	0.79	ns	ns	ns
3	2.4	2.3	2.1	2.3	0.69	ns	ns	ns
6	2.5	2.4	2.3	2.4	0.92	ns	ns	ns
Mean	2.5	2.4	2.3	2.3	0.85	ns	ns	ns
Protozoa ($\times 10^5$)								
Horotrich								
0 h-post feeding	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.2	0.11	ns	ns	ns
3	3.2	2.2	2.8	1.7	0.35	ns	ns	ns
6	1.4	2.5	2.2	1.9	0.16	ns	ns	ns
Mean	2.0	2.1	2.2	1.6	0.10	ns	ns	ns
Entodiniomorph								
0 h-post feeding	0.4	0.6	0.6	0.9	0.11	ns	ns	ns
3	0.5	0.4	1.1	0.8	0.34	ns	ns	ns
6	1.0	0.6	0.6	0.8	0.16	ns	ns	ns
Mean	0.6	0.5	0.8	0.8	0.10	ns	ns	ns
Total protozoa								
0 h-post feeding	2.0	2.3	2.4	2.1	0.26	ns	ns	ns
3	3.7	2.6	2.9	2.5	0.59	ns	ns	ns
6	2.4	3.1	2.8	2.7	0.20	ns	ns	ns
Mean	2.7	2.7	3.0	2.4	0.26	ns	ns	ns

MOSM, mao seed meal; DM, dry matter; SEM, standard error of the mean; ns, non-significant ($p > 0.05$).

¹ L, linear; Q, quadratic; C, cubic.

these are stable over the pH range 3.5 to 7.0 but dissociate CP degradation and $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ concentrations (Makkar, 2003). in the abomasum and duodenum, hence decreasing ruminal However, reactivity between CT and proteins depends

Table 6. Effect of mao seed meal supplementation on N utilization and microbial protein synthesis in goats

Item	MOSM (% of total DM intake)				SEM	Contrast ¹		
	0	0.8	1.6	2.4		L	Q	C
N utilization								
N intake (g/d)								
Pangola grass hay	4.0	4.4	4.3	4.4	1.15	ns	ns	ns
Concentrate	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	0.33	ns	ns	ns
MOSM	0.00	0.08	0.15	0.23	0.009	**	ns	ns
Total	10.5	11.0	11.0	11.1	0.45	ns	ns	ns
N excretion (g/d)								
Fecal	2.1	2.4	2.0	2.4	0.11	ns	ns	ns
Urine	3.2	3.2	1.0	1.0	0.19	**	ns	ns
Total	5.3	5.6	3.0	3.4	0.17	**	ns	ns
N balance (g/d)								
Absorption	8.5	8.6	9.0	8.7	0.37	ns	ns	ns
Retention	5.2	5.4	8.0	7.7	0.47	*	ns	ns
% of N retention to N intake	49.5	46.5	71.9	69.9	2.29	**	ns	*
PD excretion (mmol/d)	15.4	13.4	12.8	16.7	1.91	ns	ns	ns
MN (g N/d)	11.2	9.7	9.3	12.2	1.39	ns	ns	ns
MCP (g CP/d)	70.0	60.8	58.3	75.9	8.69	ns	ns	ns
EMNS (g N/kg OMDR)	41.6	33.5	44.4	52.4	4.98	ns	ns	ns

MOSM, mao seed meal; DM, dry matter; SEM, standard error of the mean; PD, purine derivatives; MN, microbial nitrogen; MCP, microbial crude protein; EMNS, efficiency of microbial N synthesis, g of N/kg of OMDR; OMDR, organic matter digested in the rumen.

¹ L, linear; Q, quadratic; C, cubic.

* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$; ns, non-significant ($p > 0.05$).

partly on the molecular weight, type of tertiary structure, and amino acid content of proteins. In the present study, ruminal $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ concentrations and microbial CP synthesis were not significantly affected by dietary treatments. A possible explanation for this is that CT in MOSM may not affect the protein degradability. This is in agreement with Animut et al. (2008) who reported that rumen $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ concentrations were not affected by varying source of CT in goats. Moreover, BUN is an indicator of protein degradation in the rumen (Pathak et al., 2013). In the current study, BUN was decreased when goats were fed with MOSM at 2.4% of total DM intake. Higher CT in MOSM may have further reduced availability of degradable protein in the rumen, resulting in a further decrease in BUN concentrations. The results from the present study were similar to those of Dey et al. (2008), who reported that BUN concentrations were decreased by supplementation of CT from *Ficus infectoria* leaves at 1.5% and 2.0% in lambs.

Propionate formation can be considered as a competitive pathway for CH_4 production (Moss et al., 2000). The increase of propionate in the supplemented groups led to the reduction of calculated CH_4 production in this study. The CT suppressed CH_4 production by shifting hydrogen (H_2) from the CH_4 pathway to produce propionate (Wanapat et al., 2014). Similarly, Animut et al. (2008) reported that propionate was increased, while CH_4 was decreased by supplementation with CT containing forage, *Kobe lespedeza*, in goats. Our previous study (Gunun et al., unpublished) showed that supplementation of mango pomace containing CT could reduce CH_4 production up to 40% in *in vitro*. The present study showed the reduction of CH_4 by MOSM without affecting total DM intake. However, total VFA, acetate and butyrate were similar among treatments. The results from the present study were similar to the report of Wanapat et al. (2014) that VFA production and C2:C3 were not affected by mangosteen peel containing CT, possibly due to lack of effect of supplemented CT on bacteria or to adaptation of rumen microorganisms to CT (Patra and Saxena, 2011).

The total direct count is a traditional method and may not reflect accurately bacteria in the rumen, especially bacteria attached to plant particles. In future studies, quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) method will need to be used to more accurately measure the number of total bacteria. Effects of CT on rumen protozoa are variable and mostly depend on the type of CT, their origin and supplementation levels (Patra and Saxena, 2011). In the present study, total bacteria, total protozoa, horotrich and entodiniomorph counts were not affected by MOSM supplementation. Similarly, Animut et al. (2008) established that CT in *Kobe lespedeza* was not responsible for the anti-protozoal activity in goat. In contrast, Gunun et al. (2014) reported that protozoal population was dramatically

decreased with MOSM supplementation in *in vitro*.

In the present study, supplementation with MOSM did not affect digestibility of CP. These results contrast with those of Soltan et al. (2013) who reported a decrease in apparent N digestibility due to higher fecal N excretion in the presence of CT. These authors suggested that higher faecal N excretion in the presence of active tannins was matched by lower urinary N excretion due to the lower degradability of protein in the rumen and subsequent lower $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ absorption. This shift in N excretion routes was not relevant in our experiment since no significant differences were observed in fecal N excretion. However, urinary N excretion was lower, which resulted in higher N retention when goats were fed with MOSM at 1.6% and 2.4% of total DM intake. The reduction in urinary N in our study was 68.8% by MOSM compared to control. A possible explanation for this is that CT in MOSM was increased rate of recycling N into the rumen, presumably as saliva urea. Similar to the present study, increased N retention in goat given plants-containing CT due to lowered nitrogen excretion through urine has been reported earlier by several workers (Pathak et al., 2013).

Urinary PD excretion is often used to estimate ruminal microbial protein synthesis in ruminants. The principle is that duodenal purine bases, as a microbial marker, are efficiently absorbed at the small intestine and the majority of their metabolites excreted via kidney with a specific urinary recovery in each animal species. Allantoin was the major PD, accounting for 78% to 85% of total PD (Hernandez et al., 2014). In the present study, PD excretion was not affected by MOSM supplementation. Microbial CP synthesis in the rumen provides the majority of protein supplied to small intestine of ruminants, accounting for 50% to 80% of total absorbable protein. Supplementation with MOSM did not affect microbial CP synthesis. Moreover, total protozoa counts were not affected by MOSM supplementation compared with the control. Thus it seems unlikely that the protozoa substantively contributed to the estimated increase in duodenal flow of microbial N as estimated from the urinary excretion of PD. The results from the present study were similar to those of Dentinho et al. (2014), who reported that microbial N supply and protozoa counts were unaffected by *Salix babylonica* and *Leucaena leucocephala* leaf extracts in lambs. The microbial CP flows from the rumen as calculated from PD excretion using the equation of Chen and Gomes (1995) were 70.0, 60.8, 58.3, and 75.9 g CP/d when goats were fed with MOSM at 0%, 0.8%, 1.6%, and 2.4% of total DM intake, respectively.

CONCLUSIONS

Supplementation of MOSM at 1.6% to 2.4% of total

DM intake resulted in increased VFA production especially propionate, N balance through reducing N losses in urine and reduced CH₄ production, while it did not adversely affect feed intake, digestibility, microbial populations and microbial protein synthesis in goats.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

We certify that there is no conflict of interest with any financial organization regarding the material discussed in the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to express their most sincere gratitude and appreciation to the Program in Animal Production Technology, Faculty of Technology, Udon Thani Rajabhat University for the use of the research facilities. The cooperation of J. Jantapong, S. Sangkaew, and P. Thattamlay whom participated in this study and the Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Natural Resources, Rajamangala University of Technology-Isan, Sakon Nakhon Campus was highly appreciated.

REFERENCES

- Animut, G., R. Puchala, A. L. Goetsch, A. K. Patra, T. Sahlu, V. H. Varel, and J. Wells. 2008. Methane emission by goats consuming different sources of condensed tannins. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 144:228-241.
- AOAC. 1995. Official Method of Analysis, 16th ed. Animal Feeds: Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Arlington, VA, USA.
- Beauchemin, K. A., M. Kreuzer, F. O'Mara, and T. A. McAllister. 2008. Nutritional management for enteric methane abatement: a review. *Aust. J. Exp. Agric.* 48:21-27.
- Burns, R. E. 1971. Method for estimation of tannin in the grain sorghum. *Agron. J.* 63:511-512.
- Chen, X. B. and M. J. Gomes. 1995. Estimation of microbial protein supply to sheep and cattle based on urinary excretion of purine derivative-an overview of the technique details. Occasional Publication 1992. International Feed Resources Unit, Rowett Research Institute, Aberdeen, UK.
- Chen, X. B., F. D. DeB. Hovell, E. R. Ørskov, and D. S. Brown. 1990. Excretion of purine derivatives by ruminants: effect of exogenous nucleic acid supply on purine derivative excretion by sheep. *Br. J. Nutr.* 63:131-142.
- Chen, X. B., D. J. Kyle, and E. R. Ørskov. 1993. Measurement of allantoin in urine and plasma by high-performance liquid chromatography with pre-column derivatization. *J. Chromatogr. B Biomed. Sci. Appl.* 617:241-247.
- Crocker, C. L. 1967. Rapid determination of urea nitrogen in serum or plasma without deproteinization. *Am. J. Med. Technol.* 33:361-365.
- Dentinho, M. T. P., A. T. Belo, and R. J. B. Bessa. 2014. Digestion, ruminal fermentation and microbial nitrogen supply in sheep fed soybean meal treated with *Cistus ladanifer* L. tannins. *Small Rumin. Res.* 119:57-64.
- Dey, A., N. Dutta, K. Sharma, and A. K. Pattanaik. 2008. Effect of dietary inclusion of *Ficus infectoria* leaves as a protectant of proteins on the performance of lambs. *Small Rumin. Res.* 75:105-114.
- Galyean, M. 1989. Laboratory Procedure in Animal Nutrition Research. Department of Animal and Food Sciences, Texas Tech University, Lubbock TX, USA.
- Gunun, P., M. Wanapat, and N. Anantasook. 2013. Rumen fermentation and performance of lactating dairy cows affected by physical forms and urea treatment of rice straw. *Asian Australas. J. Anim. Sci.* 26:1295-1303.
- Gunun, P., N. Anantasook, M. Wanapat, S. Sirilaophaisan, A. Cherdthong, C. Wachirapakorn, and C. Yuangklang. 2014. Effect of Mao (*Antidesma thwaitesianum* Mull. Arg.) seed supplementation on *in vitro* rumen protozoal population and digestibility using a gas production technique. *Khon Kaen Agr. J.* 42(Suppl. 4):47-53.
- Hernandez, P., A. Z. M. Salem, S. Lopez, X. Z. Sun, R. Rojo, L. M. Camacho, M. M. Y. Elghandour, and M. Gonzalez-Ronquillo. 2014. Influence of *Salix babylonica* and *Leucaena Leucocephala* leaf extracts on ruminal fermentation characteristics, urinary purine derivative excretion and microbial protein synthesis. *Livest. Sci.* 163:80-84.
- Hristov, A. N. and J. -P. Jouany. 2005. Factors affecting the efficiency of nitrogen utilization in the rumen. In: Nitrogen and Phosphorus Nutrition of Cattle: Reducing the Environmental Impact of Cattle Operations (Eds. E. Pfeffer and A. N. Hristov) CAB International, Wallingford, UK. pp. 117-166.
- Jayanegara, A., N. Togtokhbayar, H. P. S. Makkar, and K. Becker. 2009. Tannins determined by various methods as predictors of methane production reduction potential of plants by an *in vitro* rumen fermentation system. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 150:230-237.
- Kwon, J. H., J. M. R. Belanger, J. R. J. Pare, and V. A. Yaylayan. 2003. Application of the microwave-assisted process (MAPTM) to the fast excretion of ginseng saponins. *Food Res. Int.* 36:491-498.
- Landau, S., N. Silanikove, Z. Nitsan, D. Barkai, H. Baram, F. D. Provenza, and A. Perevolotsky. 2000. Short-term changes in eating patterns explain the effects of condensed tannins on feed intake in heifers. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 69:199-213.
- Makkar, H. P. S. 2003. Effects and fate of tannins in ruminant animals, adaptation to tannins, and strategies to overcome detrimental effects of feeding tannin rich feeds. *Small Rumin. Res.* 49:241-256.
- Moss, A. R., J. P. Jouany, and J. Newbold. 2000. Methane production by ruminants: its contribution to global warming. *Anim. Res.* 49:231-253.
- Mueller-Harvey, I. 2006. Unravelling the conundrum of tannins in animal nutrition and health. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 86:2010-2037.
- Pathak, A. K., N. Dutta, P. S. Banerjee, A. K. Pattanaik, and K. Sharma. 2013. Influence of dietary supplementation of condensed tannins through leaf meal mixture on intake, nutrient utilization and performance of *Haemonchus contortus* infected sheep. *Asian Australas. J. Anim. Sci.* 26:1446-1458.
- Patra, A. K. and J. Saxena. 2011. Exploitation of dietary tannins to improve rumen metabolism and ruminant nutrition. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 91:24-37.

- Poungchompu, O., M. Wanapat, C. Wachirapakorn, S. Wanapat, and A. Cherdthong. 2009. Manipulation of ruminal fermentation and methane production by dietary saponins and tannins from mangosteen peel and soapberry fruit. *Arch. Anim. Nutr.* 63:389-400.
- Samuel, M., S. Sagathewan, J. Thonus, and G. Mathen. 1997. An HPLC method for estimation of volatile fatty acids of rumen fluid. *Indian J. Anim. Sci.* 67:805-807.
- SAS. 1996. User's Guide: Statistic, Version 5. edn. SAS. Institute, Cary, NC, USA.
- Soltan, Y. A., A. S. Morsy, S. M. Sallam, R. C. Lucas, H. Louvandini, M. Kreuzer, and A. L. Abdalla. 2013. Contribution of condensed tannins and mimosine to the methane mitigation caused by feeding *Leucaena leucocephala*. *Arch. Anim. Nutr.* 67:169-184.
- Van Soest, P. J., J. B. Robertson, and B. A. Lewis. 1991. Method for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber and non-starch polysaccharide in relation to animal nutrition. *J. Dairy Sci.* 74:3583-3597.
- Wanapat, M. and O. Pimpa. 1999. Effect of ruminal NH₃-N levels on ruminal fermentation, purine derivatives, digestibility and rice straw intake in swamp buffaloes. *Asian Australas. J. Anim. Sci.* 12:904-907.
- Wanapat, M., V. Chanthakhoun, K. Phesatcha, and S. Kang. 2014. Influence of mangosteen peel powder as a source of plant secondary compounds on rumen microorganisms, volatile fatty acids, methane and microbial protein synthesis. *Livest. Sci.* 162:126-133.